

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/650,355		BASSO ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	SON P. HUYNH		2424	

All Participants:

(1) SON P. HUYNH.

(2) Thomas M. Isaacson (Reg. No. 44,166).

Date of Interview: 8 March 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

10, 15, 19-20, 22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed 112 issue in the above claims.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Son P Huynh/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2424

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 12:00

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)